FORM GEN. 160A (Rev. 1/82)

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

6220 YUCCA STREET DOT Case No. CEN 15-43471

Date: January 20, 2016

To:

Jae Kim, Senior City Planner Department of City Planning

From:

Wes Pringle, Transportation Engineer Department of Transportation

Subject: TRANSPORTATION STUDY ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED MIXED-USED DEVELOPMENT PROJECT AT 6220 YUCCA STREET (ENV-2014-4706-EAF)

The Department of Transportation (DOT) has reviewed the traffic analysis, dated January 2015, prepared by Gibson Transportation Consultant Inc., for the proposed mixed-use project located at 6220 Yucca Street. In order to evaluate the effects of the project's traffic on the available transportation infrastructure, the significance of the project's traffic impacts is measured in terms of change to the volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio between the "future no project" and the "future with project" scenarios. This change in the V/C ratio is compared to established threshold standards to assess the project-related traffic impacts. Based on DOT's traffic impact criteria¹, the proposed development will result in a significant traffic impact at one of the intersections that were identified for detailed analysis. The traffic study also included a residential street impact analysis that determined that the estimated project traffic using Carlos Avenue between Gower Street and Bronson Avenue would be lower than the threshold for significant impact on a Local street, therefore no mitigation would be required. The results of the traffic impact analysis, which adequately evaluated the project's traffic impacts on the surrounding community, are summarized in **Attachments 1a & 1b**.

DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

A. <u>Project Description</u>

The project proposes to develop a mixed-use development consisting of 191 multifamily residential units, 260 hotel rooms, and approximately 6,980 square-feet of commercial/restaurant uses in two buildings contained a total of approximately 372,450 square-feet. The first building would be for all three uses and would be built over six parking levels, including one subterranean level. The second building would be for residential use only. The project site currently consists of one single-family house, one

¹ Per the DOT Traffic Study Policies and Procedures, a significant impact is identified as an increase in the Critical Movement Analysis (CMA) value, due to project related traffic, of 0.01 or more when the final ("with project") Level of Service (LOS) is LOS E or F; an increase of 0.020 or more when the final LOS is LOS D; or an increase of 0.040 or more when the final LOS is LOS C.

duplex (two multi-family units), and three two-story apartment building with a total of 40 multi-family units. The project would provide 456 parking spaces, of which 315 spaces for residential use and 141 spaces for hotel and commercial/restaurant uses. In addition, the project would also provide 244 bicycle parking spaces, of which 210 spaces for residential use and 34 spaces for hotel and commercial/restaurant uses. Vehicular access would be provided via Yucca Street and Argyle Avenue and a separate porte-cochere with valet access would be provided for hotel guests and visitors on Yucca Street. The project is expected to be completed by 2021.

B. <u>Trip Generation</u>

The proposed project is expected to generate approximately 3,182 net new daily trips, 233 net new trips in the a.m. peak hour and 249 net new trips in the p.m. peak hour. These estimates were derived using trip generation rates from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) "Trip Generation Handbook, 9th Edition." A copy of the trip generation estimates table from the transportation study is attached and identified as **Attachment 2**.

C. <u>Traffic Impacts</u>

In order to evaluate the effects of the project traffic on the available transportation infrastructure, the significance of the project's traffic impacts is measured in terms of change to the volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio between the "future no project" and the "future with project" scenarios. This change in the V/C ratio is compared to DOT's established threshold standards to assess the project-related traffic impacts. The traffic study estimates that the project would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of Argyle Avenue/US 101 Northbound On-Ramp & Franklin Avenue intersection during the "future with project" scenario. To off-set this significant traffic impact, the traffic study proposed a transportation mitigation programs designed to fully mitigate this impact (discussed in the "Project Requirements" section).

D. Freeway Analysis

The traffic study included a freeway impact analysis that was prepared in accordance with the State-mandated Congestion Management Program (CMP) administered by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA). According to this analysis, the project would not result in significant traffic impacts on any of the evaluated freeway mainline segments. To comply with the Freeway Analysis Agreement executed between Caltrans and DOT in October 2013, the study also included a screening analysis to determine if additional evaluation of freeway mainline and ramp segments was necessary beyond the CMP requirements. Exceeding one of the four screening criteria would require the applicant to work directly with Caltrans to prepare more detailed freeway analyses. The project did meet or exceed one or more of the four thresholds defined in the agreement; therefore, additional analysis was required by Caltrans.

PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

A. <u>Construction Impacts</u>

DOT recommends that a construction work site traffic control plan be submitted to DOT

Jae Kim

for review and approval prior to the start of any construction work. The plan should show the location of any roadway or sidewalk closures, traffic detours, haul routes, hours of operation, protective devices, warning signs and access to abutting properties. DOT also recommends that construction related traffic be restricted to off-peak hours to the extent possible.

B. <u>Traffic Mitigation Program</u>

Consistent with City policies on sustainability and smart growth and with DOT's trip reduction and multi-modal transportation goals, the project's mitigation program first focuses on developing a trip reduction program and on solutions that promote other modes of travel. The traffic mitigation program includes the following improvements:

1. Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Program

The purpose of a TDM plan is to reduce the use of single occupant vehicles (SOV) by increasing the number of trips by walking, bicycle, carpool, vanpool and transit. A TDM plan should include design features, transportation services, education, and incentives intended to reduce the amount of SOV during commute hours. Through strategic building design and orientation, this project can facilitate access to transit, can provide a pedestrian-friendly environment, can promote non-automobile travel and can support the goals of a trip-reduction program.

A preliminary TDM program shall be prepared and provided for DOT review <u>prior</u> to the issuance of the first building permit for this project and a final TDM program approved by DOT is required <u>prior</u> to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the project. The TDM program should include, but not be limited to, the following strategies:

- Provide an internal Transportation Management Coordination Program with an on-site transportation coordinator;
- Participate as a member of future Hollywood Transportation Management Organization, when operational (described in detail below);
- Design the project to ensure a bicycle, transit, and pedestrian friendly environment;
- Provide unbundled parking that separates the cost of obtaining assigned parking spaces from the cost of purchasing or renting residential units;
- Accommodate flexible/alternative work schedules and telecommuting programs;
- A provision requiring compliance with the State Parking Cash-out Law in all leases;
- Coordinate with DOT to determine if the project location is eligible for a future Integrated Mobility Hub (which can include space for a bike share kiosk, and/or parking spaces on-site for car-share vehicles);
- Provide on-site transit routing and schedule information;
- Provide a program to discount transit passes for residents/employees possibly through negotiated bulk purchasing of passes with transit providers;

- Provide rideshare matching services;
- Preferential rideshare loading/unloading or parking location;
- Contribute a one-time fixed fee contribution of \$75,000 to be deposited into the City's Bicycle Plan Trust Fund to implement bicycle improvements in the vicinity of the project.

In addition to these TDM measures, DOT also recommends that the applicant explore the implementation of an on-demand van, shuttle or tram service that connects the project employees to off-site transit stops (such as the Metro Red Line stations) based on the transportation needs of the project's employees. Such a service can be included as an additional measure in the TDM program if it is deemed feasible and effective by the applicant.

2. Hollywood Transportation Management Organization

The project should join a Transportation Management Organization (TMO) serving the Hollywood area once it is created. DOT is currently working with other major employers in the Hollywood area to develop a TMO that would be available to the general public and employees of participating companies within the Hollywood area. The TMO would offer similar services to those described above but would have a much wider reach than the project's local TDM plan and can result in much greater trip reduction benefits. TMO's in other major employment centers of Los Angeles County have proved beneficial in reducing traffic and improving air quality. A TMO in Hollywood can be instrumental in promoting the use of transit and the City's bike share and car share programs that will be installed in the coming years within the Hollywood community. The TMO's activities would help augment or implement some of the strategies described above for the project-specific TDM plan. TMO's typically implement and promote TDM strategies such as the following:

- employee flex time and modified work schedules;
- vanpool and carpool programs;
- provide information on rail, bus and shuttle services;
- satellite parking;
- non-vehicular commuting;
- parking management strategies;
- telecommuting programs;
- matching services for multi-employer carpools,
- multi-employer vanpools (to serve areas that are identified as underserved by transit);
- promotion and implementation of pedestrian, bicycle and transit stop enhancements (such as transit/bicycle lanes).

2. Transportation Systems Management (TSM) Improvements

LADOT's ATSAC Section has identified the need to replace the existing video fiber/fiber optic cables with the high-capacity data cables in the Hollywood area. The new cables would be installed from an ATSAC hub located at Wilcox

Jae Kim

Avenue & De Longpre Avenue to Franklin Avenue/Highland Avenue, to Hollywood Boulevard/Highland Avenue, and to Hollywood Boulevard/Vine Street. These cables would provide the network capacity for additional closed circuit television (CCTV) cameras to real-time video monitoring of intersection, corridor, transit, and pedestrian operations in Hollywood.

Should the project be approved, then a final determination on how to implement these video fiber/fiber optic upgrades will be made by DOT prior to the issuance of the first building permit. These video fiber/fiber optic upgrades will be implemented **either** by the applicant through the B-Permit process of the Bureau of Engineering (BOE), **or** through payment of a one-time fixed fee of \$175,000 to DOT to fund the cost of the upgrades. If DOT selects the payment option, then the applicant would be required to pay \$175,000 to DOT, and DOT shall design and construct the upgrades.

If the upgrades are implemented by the applicant through the B-Permit process, then these video fiber/fiber optic improvements must be guaranteed <u>prior</u> to the issuance of any building permit and completed <u>prior</u> to the issuance of any certificate of occupancy. Temporary certificates of occupancy may be granted in the events of any delay through no fault of the applicant, provided that, in each case, the applicant has demonstrated reasonable efforts and due diligence to the satisfaction of DOT.

C. <u>New Traffic Signal</u>

In the preparation of traffic studies, DOT guidelines indicate that unsignalized intersections should be evaluated solely to determine the need for the installation of a traffic signal or other traffic control device. When choosing which unsignalized intersections to evaluate in the study, intersections that are adjacent to the project or that are integral to the project's site access and circulation plan should be identified. The traffic study included traffic signal warrant analyses for the following three intersections:

- Gower Street and US-101 Freeway Northbound on-ramp
- Gower Street and US-101 Freeway Southbound off-ramp/Yucca Street
 - Gower Street/Yucca Street

Based on the warrant analyses results, warrants for a new traffic signal will be satisfied for each of these intersections for future 2021 with project traffic conditions. However, the satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant does not in itself require the installation of a signal. Other factors relative to safety, traffic flow, signal spacing, coordination, etc. should be considered. The two intersections at Gower Street and US-101 Freeway ramps are within the jurisdiction of Caltrans and are subject to review and co-approval by that agency and by DOT's Hollywood-Wilshire District Office. The traffic signal warrant analysis shall be prepared pursuant to Section 353 of DOT's Manual of Policies and Procedures and submitted by the applicant to DOT for review. Furthermore, it is the responsibility of the applicant to secure approval and any necessary permits by Caltrans for the traffic signal proposed at freeway ramps. An officially approved TCR does not remove the responsibility of the applicant from securing the acceptance and/or approval by Caltrans where State right-of-way is involved.

D. Highway Dedication and Street Widening Requirements

On August 11, 2015, the City Council adopted the Mobility Plan 2035 which represents the new Mobility Element of the General Plan. A key feature of the updated plan is to revise street standards in an effort to provide a more enhanced balance between traffic flow and other important street functions including transit routes and stops, pedestrian environments, bicycle routes, building design and site access, etc. Per the new Mobility Element, **Yucca Street, Vista Del Mar, and Argyle Avenue** have been designated a Local Street which would require an 18-foot half-width roadway within a 30-foot halfwidth right-of-way. The applicant should check with BOE's Land Development Group to determine the specific highway dedication, street widening and/or sidewalk requirements for this project.

E. Parking Analysis

As referenced in the Project Description section above, the traffic study indicated that 456 parking spaces would be provided. In addition, the project would also provide 244 bicycle parking spaces, of which 210 spaces for residential use and 34 spaces for hotel and commercial/restaurant uses. The applicant should check with the Department of Building and Safety on the number of Code-required parking spaces needed for this project.

F. Site Access and Circulation Plan

The conceptual site plan is acceptable to DOT; however, the review of this study does not constitute approval of the driveway dimensions, access and circulation scheme. Those require separate review and approval and should be coordinated with DOT's Citywide Planning Coordination Section (201 N. Figueroa Street, 4th Floor, Station 3, @ 213-482-7024). In order to minimize and prevent last minute building design changes, the applicant should contact DOT early in the design process for driveway width and internal circulation requirements so that such traffic flow considerations are designed and incorporated early into the building and parking layout plans. All driveways should be Case 2 driveways and 30 feet and 16 feet wide for two-way and one-way operations, respectively. All delivery truck loading and unloading should take place on site with no vehicles having to back into the project via any of the project driveways. A copy of the site plan from the traffic study is included as **Attachment 3**.

G. Development Review Fees

An ordinance adding Section 19.15 to the Los Angeles Municipal Code relative to application fees paid to DOT for permit issuance activities was adopted by the Los Angeles City Council in 2009 and updated in 2014. This ordinance identifies specific fees for traffic study review, condition clearance, and permit issuance. The applicant shall comply with any applicable fees per this ordinance.

۵, ۲

If you have any questions, please contact me at (213) 972-8482.

Attachments

N:\letters\CEN15-43471_6220 Yucca St Mixed-Use Project ts Itr

c: Chris Robertson, Council District 13 Jeannie Shen, Hollywood-Wilshire District Office, DOT Edward Yu, ATSAC, DOT Taimour Tanavoli, Citywide Planning Coordination Section, DOT Gregg Vandergriff, Central District, BOE Jonathan Chambers, Gibson Transportation Consulting, Inc.

ATTACHMENT 1a

د هې د

TABLE 10A IFUTURE WITH PROJECT CONDITIONS (YEAR 2021)INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE AND SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS

No.	Intersection	Peak	Future Project C	without onditions	Future with Project Conditions				
		nour	V/C	LOS	V/C	LOS	∆ V/C	Impact	
1.	Cahuenga Blvd &	A.M.	1.081	F	1.083	F	0.002	NO	
	Franklin Ave	P.M.	0.998	E	0.999	E	0.001	NO	
2.	Vine St &	A.M.	0.361	A	0.361	Α	0.000	NO	
	Franklin Ave / US 101 SB Off-ramp	P.M.	0.435	A	0.435	A	0.000	NO	
3.	Argyle Ave / US 101 NB On-ramp &	A.M.	0.880	D	0.891	D	0.011	NO	
	Franklin Ave	P.M.	0.957	E	0.969	E	0.012	YES	
4.	Gower St &	A.M.	0.669	В	0.671	В	0.002	NO	
	Franklin Ave	P.M.	0.758		0.763		0.005	NO	
5.	Beachwood Dr &	A.M.	0.687	В	0.689	В	0.002	NO	
	Franklin Ave	P.N.	0.074	B	0.070	В	0.002	NO	
6.	Bronson Ave &	A.M.	0.651	B B	0.653	B	0.002	NO	
		F.IVI.	0.770		0.701		0.005		
1.	Canuenga Bivo &	A.M.	0.599		0.603	B	0.004		
		F.IVI.	0.704		0.707		0.005	NO	
ð.	IVALAVE &	A.W.	0.204		0.201		0.007		
	Vine St 8	A M	0.517	^	0.525		0.000	NO	
່ ອ.	Vine SL&	PM	0.599	Â	0.614	B	0.012		
10		A M	0.000	Λ	0.208	Δ	0.043	NO	
10.	Argyle Ave a Yucca St	P M	0.233	Â	0.230		0.045	NO	
11	Gower St &		0.373	Δ	0.388		0.015	NO	
11.	Carlos Ave	P.M.	0.305	Â	0.322	Â	0.017	NO	
12	Cabuenga Blvd &	AM	1.008	F	1.014	F	0.006	NO	
12.	Hollywood Blvd	P.M.	0.701	ċ	0.706	c	0.005	NO	
13	Ivar Ave &	A.M.	0.635	В	0.638	B	0.003	NO	
	Hollywood Blvd	P.M.	0.599	Ā	0.601	В	0.002	NO	
14.	Vine St &	A.M.	0.909	Е	0.915	E	0.006	NO	
	Hollywood Blvd	P.M.	0.901	E	0.908	E	0.007	NO	
15.	Aravle Ave &	A.M.	0.625	В	0.635	В	0.010	NO	
	Hollywood Blvd	P.M.	0.684	В	0.696	В	0.012	NO	
16.	Gower St &	A.M.	0.806	Ð	0.819	D	0.013	NO	
	Hollywood Blvd	P.M.	0.816	D	0.820	D	0.004	NO	
17.	Bronson Ave &	A.M.	0.780	С	0.789	С	0.009	NO	
	Hollywood Blvd	P.M.	0.897	D	0.904	E	0.007	NO	
18.	US 101 SB Ramps &	A.M.	0.762	С	0.770	С	0.008	NO	
	Hollywood Bivd	P.M.	0.629	В	0.638	В	0.009	NO	
19.	US 101 NB Ramps / Van Ness Ave &	A.M.	0.950	E	0.955	E	0.005	NO	
	Hollywood Blvd	P.M.	0.693	В	0.702	С	0.009	NO	
20.	Vine St &	A.M.	0.482	Α	0.485	Α	0.003	NO	
	Selma Ave	P.M.	0.611	В	0.615	В	0.004	NO	
21.	Argyle Ave &	A.M.	0.229	Α	0.234	A	0.005	NO	
	Selma Ave	P.M.	0.273	A	0.277	A	0.004	NO	
22.	Vine St &	A.M.	0.944	E	0.948	E	0.004	NO	
	Sunset Blvd	P.M.	1.086	F	1.089	F	0.003	NO	
23.	Argyle Ave &	A.M.	0.944	E	0.948	E	0.004	NO	
	Sunset Blvd	P.M.	1.086	F	1.089	F	0.003	NO	
24.	Gower St &	A.M.	0.944	E	0.948	E	0.004	NO	
	Sunset Blvd	P.M.	1.086	F	1.089	F	0.003	NO	

ATTACHMENT 1b

ы : •

~ ,

TABLE 12 FUTURE WITH PROJECT WITH MITIGATION CONDITIONS (YEAR 2021) INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE AND SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS

No.	Intersection	Peak	Future v Project Co	without onditions		Future wit Condi	h Project tions		A	Future whitigatio	th Project n Conditior	s
		JUOL	V/C	ros	VIC	LOS	∆ V/C	Impact	VIC	SOJ	∆ V/C	Impact
ઌં	Argyle Ave / US 101 NB On-ramp & Franklin Ave	A.M. P.M.	0.880 0.957	сп	0.891 0.969	۵ш	0.011 0.012	NO YES	0.880 0.958	οш	0.000 0.001	o o v v

ATTACHMENT 2

, · ...

TABLE 8 PROJECT TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES

	ITE		e Daily	Morning Peak Hour			Afternoon Peak Hour		
	Land Use	Rate or Size		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Trip Generation Rates		<u> </u>							
Single-Family House	210	per du	9.52	25%	75%	0.75	63%	37%	1.00
Apartments	220	per du	6.65	20%	80%	0.51	65%	35%	0.62
Hotel	310	per room	8.17	61%	39%	0.56	53%	47%	0.59
Restaurant	932	per 1,000 st	127.15	55%	45%	10.81	60%	40%	9.85
Trip Generation Estimates					L		L		
<u>Residential Uses</u>		:							
Anartmente	220	101 du	1 270	10	79	07	77	11	110
Transit/Walk Adjustment - 15%	220	191 uu	-101	-3	-12	91	12	41	110
Transiv Waik Adjustment - 1976			-131	-0	-12	-15	-12	-0	-10
Residential Subtotal			1,079	16	66	82	65	35	100
Commercial Uses									
Hotel	310	260 rooms	2,124	89	57	146	81	72	153
Transit/Walk Adjustment - 15%			-319	-13	-9	-22	-12	-11	-23
Restaurant	932	6,980 sf	888	41	34	75	41	28	69
Internal Capture Adjustment - 10%			-89	-4	-4	-8	-4	-3	-7
Transtt/Walk Adjustment - 15%			-120	-6	-4	-10	-5	-4	-9
Pass-by Adjustment - 20%			-136	-6	-5	-11	-7	-4	-11
Commercial Subtotal			2,348	101	69	170	94	78	172
GROSS TOTAL - PROPOSED PROJECT			3,427	117	135	252	159	113	272
Existing Uses to be Removed									
Single Family House	210	1 du	10	0	1	1	1		1
Transit/Walk Adjustment - 15%	210	1 44	-2	0	, ,				1
Apartment	220	42 du	270	4	17	21	17		26
Transit/Walk Adjustment - 15%	220	76 44		-1	-2	-3	-3		20
nalion Wait Aujuounoni - 1070			-72	-,	-2	-5	-3	-'	+
Existing Subtotal			245	3	16	19	15	8	23
NET TOTAL - PROPOSED PROJECT				114	119	233	144	105	249

Notes:

sf = square feet; du = dwelling units;

All trip generation rates are from Trip Generation, 9th Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2012).

Transit/walk adjustment of up to 15% is allowed for developments within 1/4 mile of a Metro Rail station (site is approximately 700 feet from Hollywood & Vine station).





